

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 MR. IVAN L. MENDEZ,) Case No. CV 11-7758-JFW (DTB)
12 Plaintiff,)
13 vs.) ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE
14 THE CONNECTED WIRED)
15 MEMBERS, et al.,)
16 Defendants.)
17

18 On September 28, 2011, plaintiff filed a pro se Complaint herein after being
19 granted leave to proceed without prepayment of the full filing fee. After its initial
20 screening of the Complaint, on October 3, 2011, the Court issued an Order
21 Dismissing Complaint with Leave to Amend advising plaintiff that if he still desired
22 to pursue the action, he was ordered to file a First Amended Complaint within thirty
23 (30) days of the date of the order remedying the deficiencies discussed therein.
24 Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint was due on or before November 3, 2011.
25 Plaintiff has failed to file his First Amended Complaint within the allotted time nor
26 has he requested an additional extension of time within which to do so.

27 The Court notes that in its Order Dismissing Complaint With Leave to Amend,
28 plaintiff was admonished that if he failed to timely file a First Amended Complaint,

1 the Court would recommend that this action be dismissed with prejudice on the
2 grounds set forth in the dismissal order and for failure to diligently prosecute. (See
3 Order Dismissing Complaint With Leave to Amend at 5.)

4 Accordingly, on or before **December 29, 2011**, petitioner is ORDERED to
5 either (a) show good cause in writing, if any exists, why plaintiff did not timely file
6 with the Court his First Amended Complaint, and why the Court should not
7 recommend that this action be dismissed, with prejudice, for failure to prosecute and
8 failure to comply with the Court's prior Order; or (b) serve and file a First Amended
9 Complaint. Plaintiff is forewarned that, if he fails to do either, the Court may deem
10 such failure a further violation of a Court order justifying dismissal, and also deem
11 such failure as further evidence of a lack of prosecution on plaintiff's part.

12
13 DATED: December 13, 2011

14
15
16


17 DAVID T. BRISTOW
18 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28